Low temperature electrochemical oxidation of sulfur dioxide

I. NIKOLOV, K. PETROV, T. VITANOV

Central Laboratory of Electrochemical Power Sources, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, G. Bonchev Str. Bl. 10, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

Received 26 May 1995; revised 10 December 1995

The low temperature electrochemical oxidation of sulfur dioxide on carbon gas diffusion electrodes modified with cobalt phthalocyanine (CoPc) was studied. It was found that when operating with mixtures of air containing up to 20% by volume SO₂ a basic problem of the electrooxidation of SO₂, namely its permeation into the electrolyte, can be resolved. It is demonstrated that sulfur dioxide can be oxidized in the presence of air on active carbon, modified with cobalt phthalocyanine without external current at room temperature. The electrocatalytic character of the process is established and the rate dependence on the concentrations of H₂SO₄ and SO₂ up to 0.30 vol % in air is displayed. An original method for the 'cold oxidation' of SO₂ to sulfuric acid is proposed.

1. Introduction

The properties of sulfur dioxide, and more specifically its oxidation to sulfur trioxide, have been well studied [1]. Electrochemical oxidation of SO_2 , usually on noble metals electrodes and their oxides, has been studied since the early 1930s [2–4]. The mechanism of the electrochemical oxidation of sulfur dioxide was investigated on platinum [5], gold [6] and graphite [7] electrodes. The investigations on platinum revealed two possible oxidation mechanisms; first, a direct electron transfer at low anodic polarization; and second, oxidation of the sulfur dioxide by the surface metal oxides at higher anodic polarization.

Using model carbon gas diffusion electrodes (g.d.e.) catalysed with cobalt dibenzotetraazaanulene (CoTAA), Tarasevich *et al.* [8] have shown that at low SO₂ concentrations in sulfuric acid solutions (1–10 \times H₂SO₄) the oxidation reaction order is close to 1. At medium concentrations the reaction order becomes fractional. Tarasevich *et al.* assumed that, in the potential range 0.2–1.0 V vs RHE, the most probable reaction, leading to the formation of sulfuric acid, is

$$SO_2 + 2H_2O \longrightarrow H_2SO_4 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$
$$E_0 = + 0.17V$$
(1)

The oxidation of SO_2 on carbon g.d.e. catalysed with cobalt chelates was studied in this laboratory [9]. The results revealed that these electrodes possess good electrochemical characteristics. A substantial drawback is their considerable permeability with respect to SO_2 . Attempts to remove this defect by modification of the electrode design [10] or by adding KI to the electrolyte [11] were not successful.

The aim of the present work is to resolve the problem for the complete oxidation of SO_2 on g.d.e. when using SO_2 gas mixtures.

2. Experimental details

The carbon g.d.e. used in the present investigation were developed in the Central Laboratory of Electrochemical Power Sources [10]. The electrode is bilayered and consists of diffusion layer of hydrophobic carbon black, (XC-30), with a loading of 100 mg cm^{-2} and an active layer containing 40 mg cm^{-2} of catalyst and 18 mg cm^{-2} of XC-30. The carbon black is hydrophobized with Teflon [12]. The catalyst used was either CoPc, deposited on activated carbon [13] or pure Norit-NK.

The measurements were carried out in a three-electrode cell (Fig. 1) with $4.5 \text{ N} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ either under potentiostatic or galvanostatic conditions using a 'Radelkis' potentiostat. The geometric surface area of the electrodes was 10 cm^2 . In some cases a filterpress type cell, where the anode and cathode spaces were separated by a Nafion[®] membrane, was used. Larger electrodes of 200 cm^2 were applied for the more accurate measurements of the concentrations of the sulfur dioxide at the inlet and the outlet of the gas chamber of the electrochemical cell, as well as the concentration of the sulfuric acid. During the latter measurements the electrolyte was circulating with the aid of a peristaltic pump and its volume was comparatively small, 400 ml.

The counter electrode was of tungsten carbide–WC [14], and the reference was (Hg/Hg_2SO_4) in 4.5 N H_2SO_4 . The potential was measured by a digital voltmeter or recorded on a Servogor RE 511 instrument. All potentials in this paper are referred to the potential of the hydrogen electrode in the same solution. Sulfur dioxide was used either in the pure state or as gaseous mixtures with air or argon. When working with SO₂–Ar mixtures the electrolyte was bubbled with argon to avoid the effect of dissolved oxygen. The gases were supplied from cylinders and mixed in



Fig. 1. Design of the electrochemical cell used in testing gas diffusion electrodes. Key: (1) gas diffusion electrode; (2) reference electrode; (3) counter electrode; (4) gas chamber.

a 'Brooks 5850' device which ensures the necessary constant SO_2 concentration in the gas mixture. All measurements were carried out at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

The degree of oxidation (DO) is defined as the ratio of the amount of oxidized SO_2 on the g.d.e. to the total amount of SO_2 entering the electrode (there is a difference between the amount of SO_2 introduced to the gas chamber of the cell and the amount entering the electrode – only part of the SO_2 enters the g.d.e.):

$$DO = \frac{M_{ox}}{M_{tot}} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

The amount of oxidized SO₂ is determined by the number of faradays passed through the g.d.e. during the experiment, assuming a 100% coulombic efficiency. M_{tot} is the sum of M_{ox} and the amount of SO₂ passed into the electrolyte, which is assessed by iodometric titration.

Figure 2 presents the current density and the oxidation degree as a function of the SO_2 concentration in the gas mixture (air + SO_2) at constant potential, E = 750 mV vs RHE, at which the electrodes show stable performance during long term tests [10]. It is seen that at SO₂ concentrations of 25 and 50 vol % the current densities attained are 50 and 80 mA cm⁻², respectively. The second curve in Fig. 2 reveals that for up to 20 vol % SO₂ the DO is 100%. This result is very important since it makes it possible to solve the basic problem in the oxidation of SO₂ on the g.d.e., that is, to eliminate the permeation of SO₂ in the catholyte. This is accompanied by a decrease in the current density at constant potential.

As mentioned above, the capability of the g.d.e. to operate at low partial SO₂ pressures is of practical significance from the ecological point of view. Since the SO_2 concentration in the waste gases of many chemical processes is below 1% by volume detailed measurements were performed at these low concentrations. Figure 3 presents the polarization curves for the oxidation of SO₂ at 0.24 and 1% by volume on g.d.e. catalyzed with Norit + CoPc and with Norit only. At E = +750 mV vs RHE the current density is 1.5 to $2.0 \,\mathrm{mA}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ for both catalysts. Norit + CoPc has high catalytic activity, the above cited current density being attained at SO₂ concentrations which are four times lower than in the case of the pure Norit catalyst. These polarization curves demonstrate the capability of the g.d.e. to operate at low SO₂ concentrations, whereby the penetration of SO₂ into the electrolyte (see Fig. 2) is avoided. In this way definite amounts of waste gas (at selected low flow rates of the gas in the gas chamber) can be purified. Figure 4 shows the relationship between the degree of SO_2 removal by a g.d.e. with 200 cm² surface area and the flow rate of the gas mixture $(SO_2 + air)$ supplied to the electrode. The degree of SO_2 removal, or the purification of the gas mixtures from SO₂, is defined as

$$x = \left(1 - \frac{C_{\text{out}}}{C_{\text{in}}}\right) \times 100\% \tag{3}$$



Fig. 2. Dependence of the current density (×) and the degree of oxidation (•) on the SO₂ concentration in the gas mixtures (air + SO₂) at a constant potential; E = 750 mV vs RHE; t = 25 °C; 4.5 N H₂SO₄; catalyst: Norit-NK/ CoPc.



where C_{out} is the SO₂ concentration at the outlet of the gas chamber and C_{in} at the inlet. The electrode potential was maintained at 750 mV vs RHE. The attained current density of about 2 mA cm⁻² varied insignificantly with the flow rate of the gas mixture. It is seen that under these conditions the electrode removes 50 to 70% of SO₂ from the waste gas with an initial concentration of 1% SO₂. This represents a considerable ecological effect, even when using the less active catalyst Norit-NK. Detailed information on the performance of the mixed catalyst Norit + CoPc in the removal of SO₂ from waste gases were reported earlier [15].

An interesting result was observed during the above experiments: namely, that there was no quantitative relationship between the amount of the oxidized SO_2 and the electric charge passed through the electrode for a certain period of time. It was found that the H₂SO₄ concentration in the electrolyte increased at a rate higher than that corresponding to the amount of the oxidized SO₂ by the external applied current. This suggests, that part of the SO₂ entering the cell is oxidized by another mechanism, that is, not by the applied external current.

To elucidate this phenomenon the experimental polarization curve was compared with the polarization



Fig. 4. Dependence of the degree of SO₂ purification on the low rate of the gas mixture supplied to the electrode at a constant potential; E = 750 mV vs RHE; $t = 25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$; $4.5 \,\text{N}$ H₂SO₄; g.d.e.: $S = 200 \,\text{cm}^2$; $1 \,\text{vol} \%$ SO₂ in air; $i \approx 2 \,\text{mA} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$.

Fig. 3. Polarization curves of gas diffusion electrodes operating with $SO_2 + air$ mixtures; t = 25 °C; 4.5 N H₂SO₄; (\bullet) Norit-NK + CoPc, 0.24 vol % SO₂; (×) Norit-NK, 1 vol % SO₂.

curve in which the current was calculated from the rates of the oxidation of SO_2 determined by chemical analysis. The two curves are shown in Fig. 5 where (a) is from the experimentally obtained I/*E* curve and (b) is from calculated current values. The current corresponding to the total amount of SO₂ oxidized at the g.d.e. was determined by two independent methods:

(i) by the difference of the SO₂ concentration in the gas mixture at the inlet and outlet of the gas chamber of the cell at a constant gas mixture flow rate, $50 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$, using Faraday's laws:

$$I = \frac{\Delta N_{\rm SO_2} F}{\Delta t} \tag{4}$$

where ΔN_{SO_2} are the gram equivalent of SO₂, oxidized over the time period, Δt . These measurements were performed several times during the experiment and yielded constant values within the limits of the error inherent in the iodometric titration method, which in our case was $\pm 2\%$. This comparatively small error was achieved in the electrochemical cell with a 200 cm² g.d.e. as mentioned in the experimental details.

(ii) by the difference of the H_2SO_4 concentration in the electrolyte at the start and the end of the experiment at a constant volume, 400 ml. This difference was interpreted as a current using Equation 4.

The data from the two methods agree well as shown in Table 1.

The juxtaposition of the two curves in Fig. 5 shows that they differ significantly. A considerable amount of SO₂ is oxidized without the aid of the externally applied current. This is particularly obvious for the point where I = 0, that is, no-external current is

Table 1. Comparison of the amounts of oxidized SO₂

<i>I_{far} /m</i> A	Initial C _{SO2} /vol %	Final C _{SO2} /vol %	$\frac{\Delta N_{SO_2}}{for 5 h}$ /g equiv.	Current (SO ₂) /mA	$\Delta N_{H_2SO_4}$ for 5 h /g equiv*	Current (H ₂ SO ₄) /mA
0	1.050	0.705	0.077	412	0.0774	414
100	1.050	0.701	0.078	418	0.0785	419
440	1.050	0.638	0.092	498	0.0917	498

* The initial concentration of H_2SO_4 was between 3 and 8 g equiv. dm⁻³.



flowing through the electrode. In this case the SO₂ oxidation rate, presented as current density, is comparatively high, $i = 2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ or $I_{\text{calc}} = 412 \text{ mA}$. The application of lower polarization does not substantially increase the amount of oxidized SO₂. At polarization higher than 100 mV SO₂ is oxidized predominantly by the external current applied.

Questions arise concerning the oxidation mechanism without external current. One possible route is chemical oxidation in the gas phase [1]:

$$SO_2^g + \frac{1}{2}O_2^g \longrightarrow SO_3^g$$
 (5)

$$SO_3^g + H_2O \longrightarrow H_2SO_4$$
 (6)

The second route is electrochemical oxidation in short-circuited microgalvanic cells in the catalytic layer of the g.d.e. In this case the reactions are [6]

$$\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \longrightarrow H_2O$$
 (at the cathode) (7)

and

$$SO_2 + 2H_2O \longrightarrow H_2SO_4 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$

(at the anode) (8)

or, overall

$$SO_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow H_2SO_4$$
 (9)

The oxidation in the gas phase at the catalyst surface is ruled out by the experimental fact that at room temperature SO_2 does not oxidize to SO_3 . The feasibility of the electrochemical oxidation mechanism of SO_2 is illustrated in Fig. 6, presenting the cathodic (with oxygen as reagent) and anodic (with SO_2 as reagent) polarization curves on a g.d.e. catalysed with Norik-NK + CoPc having the optimum composition with respect to oxygen reduction [12] and SO_2 oxidation [9]. It is seen that the cathodic potential for oxygen reduction is more positive with respect to the anodic potential of the SO_2 oxidation, whereby the SO_2 oxidation reaction can proceed spontaneously with release of energy. The intercept of the two curves determines the value of the mixed



potential and the short circuit current. The shape of the curves and the coordinates of the intercept depend on the catalytic activity and the structure of the g.d.e. It is seen that the catalyst developed in this laboratory is highly active with respect to both reactions (i.e., the catalyst is bifunctional).

It may thus be postulated that the oxidation of SO_2 at the g.d.e. without external current is due to numerous dispersed micro galvanic short-circuited cells (i.e., the process is electrocatalytic). This assumption was checked by plotting the partial polarization curves (anodic and cathodic), under the same conditions as those applied in plotting the polarization curve of the electrode shown in Fig. 5. The gas flow rate in this case was reduced to $2.5 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ since the geometric area of the electrode was only 10 cm^2 . Figure 7 presents the cathodic polarization curve (reagent air) and the anodic (reagent Ar + 1% SO₂). The mixed potential, E_{mix} (reagent air + 1% SO₂) when the external current is zero is denoted by Δ .



Fig. 6. Cathodic and anodic polarization curves at a gas diffusion electrode; (\bullet) oxygen reduction; (\circ) SO₂ oxidation; $t = 25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$; 4.5 N H₂SO₄; Norit-NK + CoPc.



Fig. 7. Partial polarization curves of a gas diffusion electrode; $t = 25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$; $4.5 \,\text{N} \,\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$; gas flow rate: $2.5 \,\text{dm}^3 \,\text{h}^{-1}$; Norit-NK; (\bullet) cathodic (reagent-air); (\bigcirc) anodic (reagent Ar + 1 vol% SO₂); (\triangle) value of E_{mix} at i = 0.

From the intercept of the partial curves we can determine the value of the short-circuit current, $i = 2 \,\mathrm{mA} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, which is exactly the same as that calculated from the amount of oxidized SO₂ for the case where no external current flows (Fig. 5). There is also a very good agreement between the potentials $E_{\rm oc}$ at zero current for the gas mixture air + 1% SO₂ shown in Figs 5 and 7, and $E_{\rm mix}$, corresponding to the intercept of the anodic and cathodic curves in Fig. 7, $E_{\rm oc} = E_{\rm mix} = 590-600 \,\mathrm{mV}$.

The above findings corroborate the electrochemical mechanism of the oxidation of SO_2 by atmospheric oxygen when no external current is applied.

Additional information for the mechanism of the SO_2 oxidation at the bifunctional catalyst Norit-NK/CoPc can be obtained from the potential dependence of the overall current of the galvanic short-circuited cells. The shift of the potential with respect to the mixed potential in the anodic or cathodic direction increases the rate of the respective partial reaction and, consequently, the value of the overall current according to

$$i = i_{\rm a} - i_{\rm k} \tag{10a}$$

or, in the case of the gas mixture air + SO₂,





Fig. 8. (lower) Partial polarization curves of a gas diffusion electrode; t = 25 °C; 4.5 N H₂SO₄; Norit-NK + CoPc; (\bigcirc) cathodic (reagent – air); (\square) anodic (reagent Ar + 0.24 vol % SO₂); (\triangle) mixed curve (reagent–air + 0.24 vol % SO₂). (Upper) Juxtaposition of the values of (\bigcirc) $i_{(air+SO_2)}$ and (\square) $i_{(Ar+SO_2)} - i_{(air)}$ calculated from the data in the upper i/E plot.

$$i_{(\text{air}+\text{SO}_2)} = i_{(\text{Ar}+\text{SO}_2)} - i_{(\text{air})}$$
(10b)

The i/E plots at an electrode catalysed with Norit-NK/CoPc, using Ar + SO₂ (curve (a)), air (curve (b)), and air + SO₂ (curve (c)) are shown in Fig. 8 (lower). The i/E plot for the gas mixture air + SO₂ is calculated from the partial currents at the respective potentials (from the difference $i_{(Ar+SO_2)} - i_{(air)}$, as shown for E = 740 mV) which is juxtaposed with the experimental ($i_{(air+SO_2)}$) in Fig. 8 (upper). The good agreement between these two plots confirms the validity of the electrochemical mechanism of the oxidation of SO₂ in the potential range studied.

Finally, an attempt was made to study the influence of the basic parameters on the rate of the process.

Fig. 9. Effect of the $C_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}$ on the short circuit current in the range 1 to 10 N H₂SO₄; $C_{\text{SO}_2} = 0.24 \text{ vol }\% = \text{const.};$ $t = 25 \,^{\circ}\text{C};$ 4.5 N H₂SO₄; Norit-NK + CoPc.



According to Appleby [16] and Tarasevich [8] these are the SO₂ concentrations (we studied concentrations lower than 1.0 vol %, as in waste gases) and the concentration of H₂SO₄. The effect of C_{SO_2} and $C_{H_2SO_4}$ was studied by measuring the 'short circuit' current, determined by the intercept of the anodic (reagent Ar + SO₂ at various concentrations) and cathodic (reagent air) polarization curves at constant $C_{H_2SO_4}$ and C_{SO_2} , respectively. As expected [6, 16] the experiment showed (Fig. 9) that the influence of $C_{H_2SO_4}$ at constant $C_{SO_2} < 1$ vol %, in the 1–10 N H₂SO₄ range is very slight.

Figure 10 presents the partial polarization curves at various C_{SO_2} . It is seen that the intercepts of the anodic (SO₂) and cathodic (air) partial curves are in the region of the transition from activation to transport control, whereby limiting currents are not attained. This is illustrated by the Tafel plots in Fig. 11 of part of the anodic partial polarization curves from Fig. 10. They do not exhibit a linear region, which is indicative of mixed kinetic control of the anodic oxidation of SO₂. The values of the short circuit currents from Fig. 10 are presented as a function



of the SO₂ concentration in Fig. 12. The curve has an exponential character. The theoretical analysis of the i/C_{SO_2} and $i/C_{H_2SO_4}$ relationships requires the knowledge of the functions $C_{s,j} = f(i)$, where $C_{s,j}$ is the surface concentration of SO₂ or H₂SO₄ [17]. The analytical expressions for porous systems are complex [18] and lie beyond the scope of this paper.

For up to 0.3% by volume of SO₂, the dependence is linear; this means that the relationship between the rate of SO₂ oxidation and its concentration in the gas mixture, at room temperature on active carbon plus CoPc without external current source, can be expressed by the simple equation:

$$v = kC_{\rm SO_2} \tag{11}$$

where $v = i_{\rm SC}/F$ is the number of gram equivalents. SO₂ is oxidized for the period of time Δt $(v = \Delta N_{\rm SO_2}/\Delta t$, see Equation 4).

The simple relation (Equation 11) is conveniently employed in the evaluation of the performance characteristics of devices for purification of waste gases containing up to 0.3% by volume of SO₂ in air, operating on the present principle [15].



Fig. 11. Tafel plots of part of the polarization curves in Fig. 10; t = 25 °C; 4.5 N H₂SO₄; Norit-NK + CoPc; (a) anodic with 0.06% SO₂; (b) anodic with 0.16% SO₂; (c) anodic with 0.24% SO₂; (d) anodic (reagent Ar + 1.0 vol % SO₂).



4. Conclusions

A process for oxidation of SO_2 in mixtures with air at gas diffusion electrodes has been studied. It is found that 100% oxidation can be achieved at SO_2 concentrations up to 20% by volume of SO_2 oxidation, at low concentrations in air on the catalyst active carbon + CoPc, without the application of external current. The mechanism of the process has been elucidated and its electrocatalytic character revealed. The dependence of the rate of oxidation on the concentration of SO_2 has also been determined. A linear relationship between the rate of oxidation and SO_2 concentration up to 0.3% by volume SO_2 in air was found. Thus, based on these results, a novel method for the purification of SO_2 from waste gases is proposed.

References

- [1] G. K. Boreskov, 'Catalysis in the Production of Sulfuric Acid', Moscow, Goschimizdat (1954).
- [2] K. I. Rosental and V. I. Veselovkii, Zh. Fiz. Khim. 27 (1953) 1163.

Fig. 12. Dependence of the oxidation rates (the short circuit current from Fig. 10) on the SO₂ concentration in the gas mixture: t = 25 °C; 4.5 N H₂SO₄; Norit-NK + CoPc.

- [3] A. Bogdanovky and A. J. Shlygin, *ibid.* 32 (1958) 418.
- [4] E. T. Seo and D. T. Sawyer, Electroanal. Chem. 7 (1964) 184.
- [5] M. Comtat and J. Mahenc, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 11 (1969) 3862.
- [6] S. I. Zhdanov, in 'Encyclopedia of Electrochemistry of the Elements', vol. 4 (edited by A. J. Bard), Marcel Dekker, New York (1975) p. 273.
- [7] K. Wiesener, Electrochim. Acta 18 (1973) 185.
- [8] E. A. Radjushkina, I. D. Tarasevich, I. A. Levina, A. I. Andreev, *Electrochimija* 17(10) (1982) 1312.
- [9] K. Petrov, Iv. Nikolov and T. Vitanov, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 9(11) (1984) 901.
- [10] *Idem, ibid.* **10**(4) (1985) 245.
- [11] Idem, ibid. 11(9) (1986) 603.
- [12] E. Budevski, I. Iliev, S. Gamburtsev, A. Kaisheva, E. Vakanova and I. Mukhovski, Dept. Chem. Bulg. Acad. Sci. 7 (1974) 223.
- [13] K. Petrov, Iv. Nikolov and T. Vitanov, Internal report, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, no. 15 (1987).
- [14] K. Petrov, Iv. Nikolov, T. Vitanov and A. Guschev, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 8(6) (1983) 437.
- [15] T. Vitanov, E. Budevski, Iv. Nikolov, K. Petrov, V. Najdenov and Ch. Christov, 'Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Sulfur Dioxide (the ELCOX process)', *I. Chem. Eng. Symposium Series*, Leeds, UK, no. 116 (1990) 251.
- [16] A. J. Appleby and B. Pinchon, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 5 (1980) 253.
- [17] E. Vetter, 'Electrochimitcheskaja Kinetika', Chimija, Moscow (1967) p. 369.
- [18] L. G. Austin, in 'Handbook of Fuel Cell Technology' (edited by C. Berger), Prentice-Hall, New Jersey (1968).